

**Thesis Reviewer Report (M.Phil. Program)**

Name of the Student: \_\_\_\_\_ Reg. No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Department : \_\_\_\_\_

Title of Thesis : \_\_\_\_\_

The examiner is requested to send his/her report/recommendations under the following heads:

**1. General and Critical Features of the Thesis:**


---



---

**2. Scientific Quality / Technical Content of the Thesis*****I. Originality and justification of research:***


---



---

***ii. Scientific Quality of the Research:***


---



---

***iii. Mistakes/errors/amendments/changes or corrections proposed, if any (chapter wise):***


---



---



---

**3. Quality of written presentation (punctuation, grammar, spelling or language):**


---



---



---

**4. Overall Assessment:** *(Please tick the relevant option given in last Column)*

a.	Choice of topic and relevant research areas	Relevant / Irrelevant
b.	Command of relevant literature	Sufficient / Insufficient
c.	Adequacy of the research approach and methodology for the given Problem(s)	Adequate / Inadequate
d.	Quality of the research data and their analysis	Good / Fair / Poor
e.	Quality of the conclusions in the context of the original problem statement, and the data/evidence that is presented	Good / Fair / Poor



## 5. General Remarks

---



---



---

## 6. Specific recommendations

Please indicate your summary recommendation by placing a tick (√) against the appropriate paragraph:

Result Scale	Recommendations upon examination of thesis	
SCALE 1	<b>Pass:</b> The candidate is awarded a Master of Philosophy Degree	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCALE 2	<b>Pass with Minor Corrections:</b> The candidate is awarded a Master of Philosophy Degree subject to changes/ corrections to the thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners Report.	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCALE 3	<b>Pass with Major Changes/Corrections:</b> The candidate is awarded a Master of Philosophy Degree subject to changes/ corrections to the thesis as listed in the Panel of Examiners Report. The thesis <b>MUST</b> be revised and verified by the Panel of Examiners after the candidate has made the changes/corrections	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCALE 4	<b>Re-examination:</b> The candidate is allowed to re-submit the thesis for re-examination after the candidate has made changes/corrections to the thesis as required in the Panel of Examiners Report. The thesis <b>MUST</b> be re-examined and the candidate <b>MUST</b> attend another viva voce.	<input type="checkbox"/>
SCALE 5	<b>Fail:</b> The candidate is not eligible to be awarded a Master of Philosophy Degree and is not allowed to re-submit the thesis for examination.	<input type="checkbox"/>

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Evaluator with Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Official Seal/Stamp of Evaluator)

### Note:

1. While transmitting evaluation report through email, it must contain your scanned signature and official stamp please.
2. Please return this report together with the hard-evaluated copy of thesis of the scholar for his/her guidance within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt to the following address:

#### The Additional Controller of Examinations

University of Narowal, Narowal.

Pakistan

Contact #: +92-542-920049

E-mail: sulman.aziz@uon.edu.pk

(Softcopy of evaluation form is available at [www.uon.edu.pk](http://www.uon.edu.pk))